

Algorithmic Trading as a Science

Haksun Li

haksun.li@numericalmethod.com www.numericalmethod.com

Speaker Profile

- Haksun Li, <u>Numerical Method Inc</u>.
- Quantitative Trader
- Quantitative Analyst
- PhD, Computer Science, University of Michigan Ann Arbor
- M.S., Financial Mathematics, University of Chicago
- B.S., Mathematics, University of Chicago

Definition

- Quantitative trading is the systematic execution of trading orders decided by quantitative market models.
- It is an arms race to build
 - more comprehensive and accurate prediction models (mathematics)
 - more reliable and faster execution platforms (computer science)

Scientific Trading Models

- Scientific trading models are supported by logical arguments.
 - can list out assumptions
 - can quantify models from assumptions
 - can deduce properties from models
 - can test properties
 - can do iterative improvements

Superstition

Many "quantitative" models are just superstitions supported by fallacies and wishful-thinking.

Let's Play a Game



Index

Impostor Quant. Trader

Decide that this is a bull market

- + by drawing a line
- + by (spurious) linear regression

Conclude that

- + the slope is positive
- + the t-stat is significant
- Long
- Take profit at 2 upper sigmas
- Stop-loss at 2 lower sigmas

Reality

D

- r = rnorm(100)
- > px = cumsum(r)
- plot(px, type='l')

Mistakes

- Data snooping
- Inappropriate use of mathematics
 - + assumptions of linear regression
 - linearity
 - homoscedasticity
 - independence
 - normality
- Ad-hoc take profit and stop-loss
 - + why 2?
- How do you know when the model is invalidated?

Fake Quantitative Models

- Assumptions cannot be quantified
- No model validation against the current regime
- Cannot explain winning and losing trades
- Cannot be analyzed (systematically)

Extensions of a Wrong Model

- Some traders elaborate on this idea by
 - using a moving calibration window (e.g., Bands)
 - using various sorts of moving averages (e.g., MA, WMA, EWMA)

A Scientific Approach

- Start with a market insight (hypothesis)
 + hopefully without peeking at the data
- Translate English into mathematics
 + write down the idea in math formulae
- In-sample calibration; out-sample backtesting
- Understand why the models work or fail
 - + in terms of model parameters
 - + e.g., unstable parameters, small p-values

MANY Mathematical Tools Available

- Markov model
- co-integration
- stationarity
- hypothesis testing
- bootstrapping
- signal processing, e.g., Kalman filter
- returns distribution after news/shocks
- time series modeling
- The list goes on and on.....

A Sample Trading Idea

- When the price trends up, we buy.
- When the price trends down, we sell.

What is a Trend?

An Upward Trend

- More positive returns than negative ones.
- Positive returns are persistent.

Knight-Satchell-Tran *Z*_t



Knight-Satchell-Tran Process

$$R_t = \mu_l + Z_t \varepsilon_t - (1 - Z_t) \delta_t$$

- μ_l : long term mean of returns, e.g., o
- ▶ ε_t , δ_t : positive and negative shocks, non-negative, i.i.d

•
$$f_{\varepsilon}(x) = \frac{\lambda_1^{\alpha_1} x^{\alpha_1 - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)} e^{-\lambda_1 x}$$

• $f_{\delta}(x) = \frac{\lambda_2^{\alpha_2} x^{\alpha_2 - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha_2)} e^{-\lambda_2 x}$

How Signal Do We Use?

• Let's try Moving Average Crossover.

Moving Average Crossover

- Two moving averages: slow (*n*) and fast (*m*).
- Monitor the crossovers.

•
$$B_t = \left(\frac{1}{m}\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} P_{t-j}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} P_{t-j}\right), n > m$$

- Long when $B_t \ge 0$.
- Short when $B_t < 0$.

How to choose *n* and *m*?

- For most traders, it is an art (guess), not a science.
- Let's make our life easier by fixing m = 1.
 - Why?

GMA(n, 1)

B_t ≥ 0 iff *P_t* ≥ (∏ⁿ⁻¹_{j=0} *P_{t-j}*)^{1/n} *R_t* ≥ -∑ⁿ⁻²_{j=1} (n-(j+1))/(n-1) R_{t-j} (by taking log) *B_t* < 0 iff *P_t* < (∏ⁿ⁻¹_{j=0} *P_{t-j}*)^{1/n} *R_t* < -∑ⁿ⁻²_{j=1} (n-(j+1))/(n-1) R_{t-j} (by taking log)

What is *n*?

- ▶ *n* = 2
- ▶ $n = \infty$



GMA(2, 1)

- Assume the long term mean is o, $\mu_l = 0$.
- $(B_t \ge 0) \equiv (R_t \ge 0) \equiv (Z_t = 1)$
- $(B_t < 0) \equiv (R_t < 0) \equiv (Z_t = 0)$

Naïve MA Trading Rule

- Buy when the asset return in the present period is positive.
- Sell when the asset return in the present period is negative.

How Much Money Will I Make?

• *T* Period Return:

$$RR_T = \sum_{t=1}^T R_t \times I_{\{B_{t-1} \ge 0\}}$$



Expected Holding Time

Stationary probabilities

$$\Pi = \frac{1-q}{2-p-q}$$

D

My Returns Distribution (1)

My Returns Distribution (2)

• $\Phi_{RR_T}(s) =$ $\sum_{T=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\left\{ i \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} R_t \times I_{\{B_{t-1} \ge 0\}} \right] s \right\}} | N = T \right] P(N = T) \right]$ • = $\sum_{T=1}^{\infty} \Pi p^{T-1} (1 - p) \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{T-1}(s) \Phi_{\delta}(-s) + (1 - \Pi) \Phi_{\delta}(-s)$ • = $(1 - \Pi) \Phi_{\delta}(-s) + \Pi (1 - p) \frac{\Phi_{\delta}(-s)}{1 - p \Phi_{\varepsilon}(s)}$ Expected P&L

D

 $\bullet E(RR_T) = -i\Phi_{RR_T}'(0)$

$$\bullet = \frac{1}{1-p} \{ \Pi p \mu_{\varepsilon} - (1-p) \mu_{\delta} \}$$

When Will My Strategy Make Money?

The expected return is positive when

•
$$\mu_{\varepsilon} \geq \frac{1-p}{\Pi p} \mu_{\delta}$$
, shock impact

- $\mu_{\varepsilon} \gg \mu_{\delta}$, shock impact
- $\Pi p \ge 1 p$, if $\mu_{\varepsilon} \approx \mu_{\delta}$, persistence

What About $GMA(\infty, 1)$

- Repeat the steps above.
- $E(RR_T) = -[1 p(1 \Pi)][\mu_{\varepsilon} + \mu_{\delta}]$

When Will $GMA(\infty, 1)$ Make Money?

Model Benefits (1)

- It makes "predictions" about which regime we are now in.
- We quantify how useful the model is by
 - the parameter sensitivity
 - the duration we stay in each regime
 - the state differentiation power

Model Benefits (2)

- We can explain winning and losing trades.
 - + Is it because of calibration?
 - + Is it because of state prediction?
- We can deduce the model properties.
 - + Are 2 states sufficient?
 - + prediction variance?
- We can justify take-profit and stop-loss based on trader utility function.

Backtesting

- Backtesting simulates a strategy (model) using historical or fake (controlled) data.
- It gives an idea of how a strategy would work in the past.
 - + It does not tell whether it will work in the future.
- It gives an objective way to measure strategy performance.
- It generates data and statistics that allow further analysis, investigation and refinement.
 - + e.g., winning and losing trades, returns distribution
- It helps choose take-profit and stop-loss.

Some Performance Statistics

Þ p&l

- mean, stdev, corr
- Sharpe ratio
- confidence intervals
- max drawdown
- breakeven ratio
- biggest winner/loser
- breakeven bid/ask
- slippage

Omega

- $\Omega(L) = \frac{\int_{L}^{b} [1 F(x)] dx}{\int_{a}^{L} F(x) dx} = \frac{C(L)}{P(L)}$
- The higher the ratio, the better
- Ratio of the probability of having a gain by the probability of having a loss
- Do not assume Normality
- Use the whole returns distribution

Performance on MSCI Singapore



Bootstrapping

- We observe only one history.
- What if the world had evolve different?
- Simulate "similar" histories to get confidence interval.
- White's reality check (White, H. 2000).

Fake Data

Returns: AR(1)

• $X_t = \alpha X_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$

- Auto-correlation is required to be profitable.
- The smaller the order, the better. (quicker response)

Returns: AR(1)



 Prices tend to move in one direction (trend) for a period of time and then change in a random and unpredictable fashion.

Returns: ARMA(1, 1)

D



Returns: ARIMA(o, d, o)

- $\blacktriangleright \nabla^d (X_t \mu) = e_t$
- Irregular, erratic, aperiodic cycles.

Returns: ARIMA(o, d, o)



ARCH + GARCH

The presence of conditional heteroskedasticity, if unrelated to serial dependencies, may be neither a source of profits nor losses for linear rules.

A good Backtester (1)

- allow easy strategy programming
- allow plug-and-play multiple strategies
- simulate using historical data
- simulate using fake, artificial data
- allow controlled experiments
 - e.g., bid/ask, execution assumptions, news

A good Backtester (2)

- generate standard and user customized statistics
- have information other than prices
 - e.g., macro data, news and announcements
- Auto calibration
- Sensitivity analysis
- Quick

Matlab/R

- They are very slow. These scripting languages are interpreted line-by-line. They are not built for parallel computing.
- They do not handle a lot of data well. How do you handle two year worth of EUR/USD tick by tick data in Matlab/R?
- There is no modern software engineering tools built for Matlab/R. How do you know your code is correct?
- The code cannot be debugged easily. Ok. Matlab comes with a toy debugger somewhat better than gdb. It does not compare to NetBeans, Eclipse or IntelliJ IDEA.

Calibration

- Most strategies require calibration to update parameters for the current trading regime.
- Occam's razor: the fewer parameters the better.
- For strategies that take parameters from the Real line: Nelder-Mead, BFGS
- For strategies that take integers: Mixed-integer nonlinear programming (branch-and-bound, outerapproximation)

Global Optimization Methods



Sensitivity

- How much does the performance change for a small change in parameters?
- Avoid the optimized parameters merely being statistical artifacts.
- A plot of measure vs. d(parameter) is a good visual aid to determine robustness.
- We look for plateaus.

Iterative Refinement

- Backtesting generates a large amount of statistics and data for model analysis.
- We may improve the model by
 - + regress the winning/losing trades with factors
 - + identify, delete/add (in)significant factors
 - + check serial correlation among returns
 - + check model correlations
 - + the list goes on and on.....

Implementation

- Connectivity to exchanges
 e.g., ION, RTS
- Platform dependent APIs
- Programming languages
 - ▶ Java, C++, C#, VBA, Matlab

Summary

- Market understanding gives you an intuition to a trading strategy.
- Mathematics is the tool that makes your intuition concrete and precise.
- Programming is the skill that turns ideas and equations into reality.